John F. Lomax, Jr. (#020224)
Brian J. Foster (#012143)
T 1 A TT (U00C02C)
Joseph A. Kroeger (#026036)
SNELL & WILMER
One Arizona Center
400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
Telephone: (602) 382-6000
Facsimile: (602) 382-6070
Email: jlomax@swlaw.com
bfoster@swlaw.com
jkroeger@swlaw.com
Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

KELVIN D. DANIEL, et al

Plaintiffs,

v.

SWIFT TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:11-cv-01548-PHX-ROS

DEFENDANT SWIFT TRANSPORTATION CO. OF ARIZONA, LLC'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assigned to: Hon. Roslyn O. Silver

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 16(a), Defendant Swift Transportation Co. of Arizona, LLC ("Swift"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby submits its Fifth Supplemental Disclosure Statement.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The content of this Disclosure Statement represents the information currently known by Swift and is therefore provisional and subject to supplementation, amendment, explanation, change, and amplification. It is not intended to represent all evidence that Swift will present at trial; rather, Swift may supplement as further information is obtained through discovery. The reason for the provisional nature of the statement is that Swift is not fully aware of Plaintiffs' allegations and damages at this time.

Swift makes the following disclosure subject to and without waiving its right to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

protect from disclosure: (a) any and all communications protected by the attorney-client privilege: (b) any and all work product conclusions, opinions, or legal theories of Swift's attorneys or other representatives concerning this litigation; and (c) any and all confidential information.

Swift further reserves all objections to the introduction of the documents and testimony of witnesses identified below at any hearing or trial, or in any motion, in this lawsuit. This Preliminary Statement applies to each and every response provided in this Fourth Supplemental Disclosure Statement and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in all responses that follow. If any part of this statement is ever read to the Court or jury, fairness requires that this Preliminary Statement also be read to indicate that, at the time it was filed, only limited information had been acquired. supplemental information is in **bold**.

INDIVIDUALS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION I. THAT SWIFT MAY USE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

As this litigation is in the early stages of discovery, Swift reserves the right to supplement this portion of its Disclosure Statement. No one has been deposed by any party as of the date of this Disclosure Statement. Swift can tentatively identify the following individuals:

Kelvin D. Daniel 1. Plaintiff c/o Stumphauzer, O'Toole

Mr. Daniel is expected to testify regarding the allegations contained in the Complaint, his application process, orientation, his criminal history and disclosure of same, and Swift's treatment of him consistent with the requirements of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

2. Tanna Hodges c/o Stumphauzer, O'Toole

Ms. Hodges is expected to testify regarding the allegations contained in the Complaint, her application process, her criminal history and disclosure of same, and

Swift's treatment of her consistent with the requirements of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

3. Robert R. Bell, Jr.
Plaintiff
c/o Stumphauzer, O'Toole

Mr. Bell is expected to testify regarding the allegations contained in the Complaint, his application process, orientation, his criminal history and disclosure of same, and Swift's treatment of him consistent with the requirements of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

4. Shawn Driscoll, Director of Security c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Mr. Driscoll is expected to testify regarding Swift's screening of job applicants with criminal histories, his knowledge of Plaintiffs' application process and the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint. More specifically, Mr. Driscoll is expected to testify about how Swift uses criminal background reports, the company's relationship with HireRight, what criteria Swift uses to qualify and disqualify candidates, the various forms Swift has used since August 2006 to obtain authorizations to conduct background searches on applicants and how Swift communicates with applicants and other Swift employees about the background information it collects on applicants, the various times and ways Swift security can get involved in the hiring process, the various ways, stages, and reasons why a candidate can be disqualified. He will also testify about the types of situations where he becomes involved personally in the candidate review process.

5. Gary Fitzsimmons, Vice-President of Security c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Mr. Fitzsimmons is expected to testify regarding Swift's screening of job

applicants with criminal histories, his knowledge of Plaintiffs' application process and the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint. More specifically, Mr. Fitzsimmons is expected to testify about how Swift uses criminal background reports, the function, operation, and purpose of the Security Department, what criteria Swift uses to qualify and disqualify candidates, the various forms Swift has used since August 2006 to obtain authorizations to conduct background searches on applicants and how Swift communicates with applicants and other Swift employees about the background information it collects on applicants, the various times and ways Swift security can get involved in the hiring process, the various ways, stages, and reasons why a candidate can be disqualified. He will also testify about the types of situations where he becomes involved personally in the candidate review process.

6. Angelica Flores, Security c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Ms. Flores is expected to testify regarding Swift's screening of job applicants with criminal histories, her knowledge of Plaintiffs' application process and the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint. More specifically, Ms. Flores is expected to testify about how Swift uses criminal background reports, what criteria Swift uses to qualify and disqualify candidates, the various forms Swift has used since August 2006 to obtain authorizations to conduct background searches on applicants and how Swift communicates with applicants and other Swift employees about the background information it collects on applicants, the various times and ways Swift security can get involved in the hiring process, the various ways, stages, and reasons why a candidate can be disqualified. She will also testify about the types of situations where she becomes involved personally in the candidate review process.

7. Michelle Cordova, Regional Recruiting Manager c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Ms. Cordova is expected to testify regarding her knowledge of Swift's application process for drivers, driver applicants who apply in-person versus not inperson, the various ways a candidate may apply to Swift, the DOT requirements for a candidate for a driving position, Swift's requirements for a candidate for a driving position, the Recruiting Department, its purpose, operations, and duties, the number and locations of recruiters involved in recruiting and hiring drivers, the interactions and ways of communicating with applicants, what processors do as part of the application process, how the recruiters work with the Security Department and what information is exchanged between them, how the recruiters work with HireRight, the various forms Swift has used since August 2006 to solicit, recruit, and qualify candidates for hiring including the applications, on-line questionnaires, the timing and procurement of consumer reports, the types of information available and not available to recruiters, how Swift handles candidates for rehire, and the situations in which Swift may order more than one report on the same person. In general terms, Ms. Cordova may also testify about offers Swift makes to applicants for drivers, why some of those offers are not accepted, and what information recruiters rely on to determine whether candidates are qualified to become drivers.

8. Douglas Driscoll, Recruiting c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Mr. Driscoll is expected to testify regarding his knowledge of Swift's application process, the work recruiters do, the types of information available and not available to recruiter, the communications recruiters have with applicants, the various ways a candidate may apply to Swift, Swift's pre-qualification process, the DOT requirements for a candidate for a driving position, Swift's requirements for a candidate for a driving position, Swift has used since August 2006

to solicit, recruit, and qualify candidates for hiring including the application, on-line questionnaires, the timing and procurement of consumer reports, how Swift handles candidates for rehire, the situations in which Swift may order more than one report on the same person, how a recruiter works with the Security Department and what information is exchanged between them. He will also testify about his interactions and involvement in the recruitment of Kelvin Daniel.

9. Chad Bumgarner c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Mr. Bumgarner is expected to testify regarding Swift's orientation process, his role in that process, and Mr. Daniel's voluntary disclosure of criminal convictions during orientation that prompted an interview with Swift's security department and ultimately his disqualification from employment at Swift.

10. Pat Ahern c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 401 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Mr. Ahern is the Director of Talent Acquisition and Development for Swift. He is expected to testify regarding his knowledge of Swift's application process for non-drivers, the general number of non-driver applicants, the various ways a candidate may apply to Swift, the various types of positions for which he has responsibility and who is involved in those hiring decisions, Swift's requirements for a candidate for a position, his department, its purpose, operations, and duties, how that department works with the Security Department and what information is exchanged between them, how that department works with HireRight, the various forms Swift has used since August 2006 to solicit, recruit, and qualify candidates for hiring including the applications, on-line questionnaires, the timing and procurement of consumer reports, the types of information available and not

2

3

• 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

available to his department, and how Swift handles candidates for rehire. In general terms, Mr. Ahern may also testify about the number of non-driver candidates hired by Swift, the number of non-driver candidates offered positions with Swift, why offers are not accepted, what information his department relies on to determine whether candidates are qualified to become employees.

11. Bernice Ruiz c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Ms. Ruiz worked in the Security Department and can testify about her interview of Mr. Daniel on January 27, 2011 and the circumstances that led to that interview and what followed that interview.

12. Patricia Ramos c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Ms. Ramos worked in the Security Department and can testify about her interview of Ms. Hodges on December 14, 2009 and the circumstances that led to that interview and that followed that interview.

13. Tammy Ferguson c/o John F. Lomax, Jr., Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 (602) 382-6305

Ms. Ferguson is a recruiter for Swift. She ordered the DAC report for Mr. Daniel and can testify to that process.

- 14. Custodians of Records as necessary to establish foundation for the admission of documents.
- 15. Any witness identified or disclosed in any party's Disclosure Statements, motions, pleadings or discovery responses and not objected to by Defendants.

	8
	9
	10
	11
ri-pi	12
Van Bur 4-2202	13
n. 400 E. na. 8500- 82-6000	14
One Atizona Censer, 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 (602) 382-6000	15
e Arizon Phoen	16
ő	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

26

27

28

	6.	Any witness	listed by	Plaintiffs.	and not	objected	to by	v Swift
--	-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	---------	----------	-------	---------

- Any expert disclosed by any party. 17.
- Any persons subsequently identified through future investigation and 18. discovery and determined to be witnesses and not objected to by Swift.

II. DOCUMENTS SWIFT MAY USE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIMS OR DEFENSES.

As this litigation is in the early stages of discovery, Swift has not yet identified all documents it may rely upon and reserves the right to supplement this portion of its Listing documents now is not an admission that they are Disclosure Statement. admissible for all purposes or any purpose. Swift has been searching and reviewing hundreds of thousands of document and producing responsive documents over the last few months. Swift has not yet identified what documents it might use at trial to certify a class action. Swift has disclosed and produced those documents on a rolling basis and when Swift is in a position to identify with more specificity the particular documents of those produced it may use, it will do so. Swift can tentatively identify the following documents:

- Daniel Employment Application, Bates labeled STC000001-000005. 1.
- 2. Daniel Swift Contact Management, Bates labeled STC000006-000010.
- 3. Daniel Driver Recruiting Process, Bates labeled STC000011-000014.
- Daniel US MVR Report, Bates labeled STC000015-000020. 4.
- 5. Daniel Widescreen National Criminal Search, Bates labeled STC000021.
- 6. Daniel Security File, Bates labeled STC000022-000024.
- 7. Bell Employment Application, Bates labeled STC000025-000029.
- 8. Bell Contact Management, Bates labeled STC000030-000034.
- 9. Bell Driver Recruiting Process, Bates labeled STC000035-000037.
- Hodges Employment Application, Bates labeled STC000038-000042. 10.
- Hodges Contact Management, STC000043-000047 11.
- 12. Hodges Driver Recruiting Process, Bates labeled STC000048-000051.

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
00000	14
(000)	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

25

26

27

28

1

2

13.	Hodges US MVR Report, SSN Check, Transportation Employment History
CDLIS+ Ba	tes labeled STC000052-000057.

- 14. Hodges 20/20 Insight Bundled Request for Information, Bates labeled STC000058-000066.
 - 15. Hodges Security File, Bates labeled STC000067-000084.
- 16. Company Driver Hiring Criteria, effective date April 1, 2011, Bates labeled STC000085-000090.
- 17. Swift Driver and Employment Applications, Bates labeled as STC000091-000125.
- 18. HireRight DOT and FCRA Disclosure and Authorization forms, Bates labeled as STC000126-000130.
- FCRA Disclosure and Authorization forms, Bates labeled as STC000131-000133.
- 20. Washington releases to order driving records, Bates labeled as STC000134-000135.
- 21. Swift Recruiter Standard Operating Procedures, Bates labeled as STC000136-000145.
 - 22. Conviction forms, Bates labeled as STC000146-000147.
- 23. Swift Ranking Criteria and Company Driver Hiring Criteria, Bates labeled as STC000148-000209.
- 24. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Southern State Community College, Bates labeled as STC000210-000330. Affidavit of Custodian of Records from Southern State Community College, Bates labeled as STC000211A-B.
- 25. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from CRST International, Inc., Bates labeled as STC000331-000452.
- 26. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from DHL, Bates labeled as STC000453-000455.
 - 27. Applications and related documents Bates-labeled STC000456-099883 and

STC104789-113111	previously produced	with Swift's response	es to Plaintiffs'	first set of
	14			
discovery requests.				

- 28. Emails and related attachments Bates-labeled STC099884-104788 and STC113112-126239 previously produced with Swift's responses to Plaintiffs' first set of discovery requests.
- 29. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Keystone Diesel Institute, Bates-labeled as STC126240-126317.
- 30. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, Bates-labeled as STC126318-126349.
- 31. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Lock Haven Distribution/ Rafko Enterprises, Bates-labeled as STC126350-126553.
- 32. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from hhgregg, Bates-labeled as STC126554-126558. Affidavit of Custodian of Records from hhgregg, Bates labeled as STC126554A-B.
- 33. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Bellisio Foods, Bates- labeled as STC126559-126608 and STC126608A-C.
- 34. Service agreement between USIS Commercial Services, Inc. and Swift Transportation Company entered into on March 2, 2006, previously produced with Swift's responses to Plaintiffs' first set of discovery requests as STC126609-126615.
- 35. Amendment to the Service Agreement dated December 17, 2009, previously produced with Swift's responses to Plaintiffs' first set of discovery requests as STC126616-126617.
- 36. Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from True Blue Inc./ Labor Ready, Bates-labeled as STC126618-126680.
- 37. Applications and related documents, Bates-labeled STC126681-178720 previously produced with Swift's Amended Responses to Requests for Production.
- 38. Emails and related attachments Bates-labeled STC178721-212818 previously produced with Swift's Amended Responses to Requests for Production.

1	39.	Application screen shots for Kelvin Daniels and Tanna Hodges, Bates-
2	labeled as S'	ΓC212819-212831. ¹
3	40.	Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from C.R. England, Bates labeled as
4	SDT-CRE00	00001-000155 and SDT-CRE000156-000159.
5	41.	Applications and related documents previously produced on June 8, 2012,
6	Bates-labele	d as STC212832-254274.
7	42.	Emails and related attachments previously produced on June 8, 2012, Bates-
8	labeled as S'	ГС254275-256173.
9	43.	Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Priority Courriers, Inc., Bates
10	labeled as Sl	DT-PCI000001-000004.
11	44.	Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Teague Enterprises of Atlanta,
12	LLC, Bates	labeled as SDT-TEA000001-000002.
13	45.	Response to a Subpoena Duces Tecum from Moultrie Technical College of
14	Atlanta, LLO	C, Bates labeled as SDT-MOU000001-6.
15	46.	Thumb drive previously produced on June 29, 2012, Bates labeled as
16	STC256174.	
17	47.	Hard drive previously produced on June 29, 2012, Bates labeled as
18	STC256175.	
19	48.	Orientation Sample Driver Employment Application, Bates labeled as
20	STC256176-	-256179.
21	49.	Applications and related documents previously produced on July 20,
22	2012, Bates-	-labeled as STC256180-312963.
23	50.	Emails and related attachments previously produced on July 20, 2012,
24	Bates-labele	ed as STC312964-327021.
25	51.	E-mail re FCRA adverse action disqualification process, Bates labeled
26	as 327022-3	27026.
27	52.	E-mails re Swift FCRA procedures, Bates labeled as STC327027-
28	These docu	ments are being produced in two formats, the original and an enlarged ease of review.

LAW OFFICES na Center, 400 E. Van Buren vix, Arizona 85004-2202 (602) 382-6000

327048.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 53. Swift Security Video played during orientation, Bates labeled as STC327049.
 - Emails and related attachments, Bates-labeled as STC327050-342389. 54.
- 55. Acknowledgement authorizing Swift Transportation Inc. to conduct investigation into background, Bates labeled as STC342390-342391.
- **56.** Articles and information related to FCRA, Bates labeled as STC342392-34205.
- 57. Morgan Lewis publication titled "FTC Cracks Down on Companies that Fail to Give FCRA-Required Notice to Rejected Job Applicants and Fired Workers" dated August 27, 2009, Bates labeled STC342406-342412.
- All tangible items produced in response to requests for production, interrogatories or attached to any party's Disclosure Statement, motion or pleading and not otherwise objected to by Swift.
- 59. All admissible portions of deposition testimony, not otherwise objected to by Swift.
 - 60. Any exhibit listed by Plaintiffs and not otherwise objected to by Swift.

III. COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES.

Swift is not currently claiming any damages against Plaintiffs. Swift reserves the right to seek to recover its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the defense of this action.

INSURANCE AGREEMENT. IV.

As previously disclosed Swift has an Employment Practices Liability Insurance policy that it believes provides coverage for the claims asserted. That policy is through National Union Fire Insurance Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa. The claims administrator for that policy is Chartis Claims, Inc. Swift also has an excess insurance policy for which it believes coverage for the claim asserted exists. That excess policy is through Twin City Fire Insurance Co., and the claims administrator

for that excess policy is The Hartford Financial Products Claims Dept. Upon request, Swift will make the policies available for inspection and copying.

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2012

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By:

John F. Lomax, Jr. Brian J. Foster Loseph A. Kroeger One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren

One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Attorneys for Defendant Snell & Wilmer
LAW OFFICES
One Arisona Center, 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenin, Arizona 85004-2202
(502) 382-6000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that July 23, 2012, I sent via Federal Express a copy of the foregoing document to the following:

Dennis M. OToole
Anthony R. Pecora
Matthew A. Dooley
Stumphauzer OToole McLaughlin
McGlamery & Loughman Company
5455 Detroit Rd.
Sheffield Village, OH 44054

And via US mail a copy of the foregoing document to the following:

Leonard Anthony Bennett Susan Mary Rotkis Consumer Litigation Associates PC 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd, Suite 1-A Newport News, VA 23601

> Nicholas Jason Enoch Stanley Lubin Lubin & Enoch PC 349 N 4th Ave Phoenix, AZ 85003

Case 2:11-cv-01548-ROS Document 108-4 Filed 10/31/12 Page 16 of 16



